

SECRET

2 June 1967

25X1 Comment on Director/OS Memo, and Memo of Understanding on
CIA [] Communications Link

25X1 Several suggestions re Memo of Understanding between
[] and CIA:

1. the memo and attachments should bear a classification.
Not only would the enemy be able to target on the communications
link, but he would learn the volume of [] and the elements 25X1
of information used in the process.

2. the paper states that CIA agrees to inform [] within 25X1
24 working hours whether the reply is "record" or "no record."
25X1 This is no problem for [] or for OS, both of which will make
this response on the basis of review of the index card alone. It does
present the CS with a problem, since we often do not know whether
there is a record or not until the documents have been reviewed.
This point should be checked with C/RID, to insure that RID can in
fact meet such a deadline.

25X1 3. as you suggested, we should note that the Agency is
picking up the entire cost of lease and installation of equipment.
I don't know why [] couldn't carry
its share.


4. AC/DDP/Systems Group should also be asked to concur
with this proposal, as the Information Processing Coordinator for
the CS.



25X1

Orig & 2 - Attached

SECRET



SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	STAT 		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			

ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT	FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

To 1 -
We cannot always meet the
24 hr deadline, due to the fact STAT
documents may be at - charged out
to area division etc. Other than that
we concur -

STAT

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	DATE
STAT 	STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:		EXTENSION	NO.
			SSA/DDS 67-1184
			DATE
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	
1. AC/SG			1-5: Systems Group has been working with OS on this setup, and would like to be kept informed since we have started computer programing to reformat the [] punch cards to facilitate RID []
2.			
3. O/RID			
4. STAT			
5. [] SSA/DDS 7D16			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			

STAT

sent copy - 6/6/67

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE	STAT
		1 June 1967	
TO: Mr. Warfield <i>VIA</i> 			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
REMARKS:			
<p>The attached memorandum from Mr. Osborn gives some of the background regarding the memorandum of understanding as to our communications link with </p> <p> While I think your signing the memorandum of understanding would be sufficient, I see no problem with putting your signature on Mr. Osborn's memo as well. Year-end money has been allocated to the Office of Communications for this project, and we have a 1 July completion date as our target.</p> <p>Recommend two signatures.</p>			
FROM: <i>Hm.</i>			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55		REPLACES FORM WHICH MAY BE	

UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE		INITIALS
1	Mr. Workfield -				W
2	via STAT [redacted]				
3					
4					
5					
6					
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE REPLY	
APPROVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNATURE	
Remarks: Per your request, I showed the CI, AID and Systems Group Comments to Howard Osborne. He agreed that the attachment should be classified CONFIDENTIAL, and it has been so classified. Regarding costs, he stated that first year would cost \$4,000 and later years \$13,000. He also stated that we get major benefit from it.					
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER					
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.					DATE
STAT					6/1/67